Design a proposed measurement methodology for the aesthetics of contemporary architecture

Amal R. Tantawy

Lecturer of Architecture, Dept. of Architecture, Future Higher Institute of Engineering, Fayoum, Egypt

Abstract:

This research paper contributes to the investigation of the contribution of proposing and designing a measurement method that can determine the quality and degree of presence of different aesthetic values in the architectural work and to monitor and document the relationship between the function performed by the building and the aesthetic values present in it. The research methodology is based on defining the research problem and then presenting the importance of the research field. Determining the objectives, then studying the previous literature related to the research, defining applied study cases, choosing the proposed method of measurement, and the reasons for selecting the applied study samples, then making questionnaire forms to conduct the research survey. From universities of different schools and architectural orientations, the random method was excluded because it was not suitable for research and not obtaining misleading opinions or answers for reasons outside the scope of the research, such as poor culture or the spread of misconceptions about aesthetic values and their interrelationship with the function of the building in the public, or lack of understanding of the standards presented in the questionnaires. Then, the results were monitored, and the most important thing in the results was that (No Balance) with an average value of (2.49) is the most predominant aesthetic value in residential buildings over the rest of the other aesthetic values, while it was found that the average degree of (complexity 1.47) is the least predominant aesthetic value in residential buildings.) and (ratio and proportion 2.46) are identical, and they are the two aesthetic values that are the most dominant in educational buildings over the rest of the other aesthetic values, while it was found that the average degree of (complexity 1.37) is the least dominant aesthetic value in educational buildings, and that the average degree of (diversity 2.53) is the aesthetic value The most majority in commercial buildings over the rest of the other aesthetic values, and that the average score (unit 1.76) is the aesthetic value that is the least majority in commercial buildings over the rest of the other aesthetic values. For a better understanding of the public's sense and interpretations of the architectural aesthetic values of the different functions of the buildings to measure the extent of their awareness and to identify the taste of the general users, which has been proven by previous studies to be expensive. It differs from the taste and preference of architects and specialists in this field.

Keywords:

Architecture aesthetics scale, values of architectural aesthetic formation, function and aesthetics of architecture.

Introduction:

Architecture is the art of creating volumes and spaces dedicated to embracing human and social functions and activities in their diversity. Based on that, it reflects in its features and forms the technical and civilizational achievements, the aesthetic and spiritual aspirations, and the material capabilities of society in an environment and a specific historical period. It is the art of creating space and a series of spaces experienced by building users over the passage Time, this void and the amount and measure of its architectural beauty were the subject of interest to designers, historians, artists, sculptors, builders and others. Or is it concentrated in the function of this building for its users as individual persons in their experience of the spaces of the building, or is the beauty based in the mutual interaction between the building, its users, and the urban surroundings.

Research problem:

The absence of accuracy in determining the physical characteristics of the environment is one of the biggest obstacles in the way of studying and analyzing the aesthetics of the architectural environment, the importance of which stems from the need to understand the general sense of beauty, knowing that what is preferred by architects is not necessarily what is preferred by the general public, and therefore the research aims at the necessary need to propose a method A measurement that can determine the quality and degree of presence of different aesthetic values in the architectural work and the extent to which they relate to the function that this performs. The problem of measuring the aesthetic aspects stands in the way of reaching a true understanding of the nature of architectural beauty.

The aim of the research:

- Suggesting a measurement method that can determine the quality and degree of presence of different aesthetic values in the architectural work.
- Monitoring and documenting the relationship between the function performed by the building and the aesthetic values present in it.
- Monitoring and inventorying the different types of architectural aesthetic values.

Research Methodology:

To achieve the main objective of the research, the research study relies on the following methodology:

- Determine the research problem
- The importance of the research field of study.
- Setting goals and assignments
- Studying the literature and previous theoretical studies related to the research topic.
- Introducing the applied study cases and choosing the proposed research measurement method.
- Reasons for selecting applied study samples
- Making and designing questionnaire forms to conduct a survey in the field of research.
- Monitor results.

- Interpreting and analyzing the results in the light of theories, hypotheses and previous studies.
- The necessary scientific recommendations.

1- Theoretical study:

1-1- Definition of Aesthetics:

It is a kind of philosophy that investigates beauty and theories related to its basic characteristics that can be judged on it and their relationship to the human mind [1]. (Baumgarten) also defined aesthetics as the science of knowledge, the theory of arts, the science of simple knowledge, and the art of deductive thinking, based on his definition of this from His emphasis on two types of knowledge, namely the vague sensory knowledge associated with simple awareness and clear mental knowledge [2], and we find from this definition the association of beauty with sensory and analytical perceptions based on clear knowledge, and we conclude from that that beauty is difficult to define or define accurately.

1-2- Types of beauty [3]:

1-2-1- Sensual beauty:

It is felt and enjoyed by the five senses of man and is a primitive type of beauty that does not need training or explanation.

1-2-2- Emotional beauty:

It results from the excitement of the aesthetic subject of an emotional feeling or a psychological value in a person, because the aesthetic subject is linked here with memories, symbols, or any beloved psychological state.

3-2-1- Intellectual beauty:

This kind of beauty needs training to taste it because it is related to the sophistication of the individual and his culture. There is this kind of beauty in the form itself, and we can distinguish between two types of intellectual aesthetics:

A- Abstract Intellectual Beauty:

It is associated with the form alone and admiration for it itself without purpose or benefit, but only because of the quality that characterizes the form of the aesthetic object so that it becomes associated with beauty.

B- Functional Intellectual Beauty:

And it is related to reconciling the form of the form and its suitability for the function required of it and the processes that produced it, so the realization of these factors of an aesthetic subject leads to happiness resulting from an intellectual victory similar to the satisfaction of discovering the truth.

1-3- Beauty and architecture:

1-3-1- Definition of Architectural Beauty:

It is a visual characteristic that results from the influence of the shape on the feeling of compatibility between it and the forces working on its formation [4]. [5]

1-3-2- Realizing Architectural Beauty:

The enjoyment of beauty in architecture is achieved through visual perception through the visual senses in addition to the rest of the other senses in accordance with the cultural values, and from here it can be concluded that architecture can be read through the visual sense of the human being to realize the formal beauty and the civilized interpretation of the thing and taste it through the values that the human carried through Its social upbringing is

done through knowing and studying the symbolic expression of the architectural product. [6]

1-4- Values of architectural aesthetic formation:

The values of aesthetic formation in architecture varied greatly, Figure (1), and it captured a wide visual space in which a group of multiple sciences and various technical efforts participate in achieving it. Creatively, and an essential genre of the fine spatial arts, but at the beginning of the third millennium, most of the architecture's products turned into aesthetic, utilitarian, and deliberative production, and acquired the characteristics of the technical product. A presentation and an inventory of most of the aesthetic values of the architectural formation that were discussed in previous studies of architects, and an explanation of the meaning of each aesthetic value in brief: [7], [8], [9]:

1- Containment	13- The ratio	25- Repetition
Horizontal.	14- Proportionality	26- Boredom
3- Vertical	15- Scale	27- Rhythm
4- Connectivity	16- Symmetry	28-Contrast
5- Disassembly	17- Balance	29-Compatibility
6- Lightness	18- Simplicity	30-Gradient
7- Heaviness	19- Complexity	31- Similarities
8- void	20- Flatness	32-Conformity
9- The Solid	21- Depth	33-Diversity
10- Texture	22- Agility	34-Unit
11- Sharpness	23- Streamlined	35- Control
-		
12- Expression	24- Transparency	36- Originality

Figure (1) shows the values of architectural aesthetic formation. Source: researcher.

Table (1) shows the values of architectural aesthetic formation. Source: researcher.	
The aesthetic value of the architectural formation	Picture
1- Containment:	
It is a characteristic of the formation of architectural blocks, as if the blocks contain specific	
external spaces between them. And it can be defined as a sense that reaches the user as soon as he	
approaches the building, where the formation of blocks helps him to feel that the building contains	

the person or that the user has become in the vicinity of the building

2- Horizontal:

Horizontal means that the building or most of its various elements take the horizontal direction parallel to the ground.

3- Vertical:

It is the opposite of the horizontal, and means the use of blocks characterized by high heights in relation to its dimensions in the horizontal plan, and the horizontal block is treated with vertical lines (openings - elements) to reduce the sharpness of the horizontal.

4- Connectivity:

It means the interconnection of the elements or blocks that make up the building at the level of the different floors, as well as the interconnection of the elements of the architectural formation and the interconnection of the plastic sections that make up the architectural formation process.

5- Disassembly:

It means analyzing the elements of the building into its architectural and plastic components and then rebuilding these components under new relationships so that the building is in a new shape and form.

6- Lightness:

It is a feeling generated by the viewer as a result of using materials with a soft texture, or raising buildings on pillars, as well as the multiplication of glass surfaces in the external facades.

7- Heaviness:	
It is a feeling that reaches the viewer as a result of the use of building materials with a rough	
texture such as bricks and stones, as well as due to the placement of the building directly on the	
ground.	
8- void:	
It is the opposite of the solid, and the hollow refers to the openings in the building or the recessed	
parts in the architectural formation, and it may sometimes be called negative in the architectural	
formation.	
9- The Solid:	
The solid in the architectural formation refers to the walls or solid blocks, which are the parts	
that are free of openings, and it is sometimes called positive in the architectural formation,	
whether in the horizontal plan or the external facades.	
10- Texture:	
Texture is defined as a set of properties that determine the surface feature. Each texture material	
differs from others, such as roughness and smoothness. A person recognizes these properties at	
first sight by vision, then verifies them by touch and uses texture in its various types to reach a	A REAL PROPERTY OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF
sense that the building wants to achieve and its architectural connection to the viewer.	
11- Sharpness:	
It is a feeling that reaches the viewer as a result of the use of sharp broken lines in the process of	
architectural formation.	
	Contraction of the second
12- Expression:	
The concept of expression can be understood through three meanings: Symbolic expression:	
about a specific purpose of the building. Functional expression: the expression of the building	
means the function for which it was established, and the structural expression: the expression of	
the building means the structural system followed in the construction of the building.	
13- The ratio:	Contraction of the second
The ratio is a relationship between the dimensions of the different elements in the building:	
internal and external spaces - movement elements - openings - architectural formation elements.	and the second se
14- Proportionality:	
Proportionality is the relationship of the dimensions of the elements in relation to each other: the	8 1 1
proportions of one window in relation to another window - the proportions of space in relation to	
another space.	and the second
The ratio of one building to another.	
15- Scale:	
The scale means the relationship of the dimensions of the elements in relation to the dimensions	
and types of humans:	
Memorial: It shows a great proportion between the dimensions of the building or the element	
and the dimensions of the human being, and it is difficult to comprehend it.	Part of Contraction
Human: It shows a reasonable proportion between the dimensions of the building or the	AP
element and the dimensions of the human being And it shows the perception of the elements in	
an overall way.	
Friendly: It shows the relationship in a more intimate way and the details can be understood.	

	¥
16- Symmetry: Symmetry in the architectural composition means that there is an axis, whether vertical, horizontal or inclined, in which the elements located on either side are similar.	
 17- Balance: It means the static balance of the building, which is called the structural balance. There is also the plastic balance, which means the balance of the building mass or the group of blocks that make up the building. The balance may be horizontal around a vertical axis, or the balance may be vertical around a horizontal axis. Complete equilibrium: It means the equilibrium of all the elements that make up the building, as well as the elements of formation from which all destinations are composed. Partial equilibrium: It means the equilibrium of some elements of the building, as if the blocks are balanced and there is no equilibrium in the architectural formation of all destinations or vice 	
 versa. 18- Simplicity: In the process of architectural formation through the simplicity of the blocks, so that the building consists of several blocks characterized by the simplicity of the engineering composition and dispensing with the decorations and everything that is not related to the utilitarian function of the architectural work. 	
19- Complexity: Complexity in architecture is the opposite of simplicity, and it includes the architectural formation containing complexities in the installation of elements and means of architectural formation, whether in the horizontal plan, in the facades, or in the architectural blocks in general. The lack of clarity of movement in the horizontal projection leads to complexity, and the use of contradictory shapes in the formation of the projection and the interface leads to complexity.	
20- Flatness: This concept is specific to the design and formation of the architectural facades of the building, and it means the stability of the line of the external sector of the building facade with the absence of any protrusions for the floors of the building on top of each other, in addition to the smoothness of the surfaces and the texture of the building materials used.	
21- Depth: The sense of depth is related to proportions and proportionality in the functional and architectural formation of the building. Due to the increase in the length of the internal corridor in relation to its width, a sense of depth is generated for the space for movement. As a result of the increased protrusion of some parts of the façade from the openings, this suggests the depth of the façade.	
22- Agility: It is a sense that follows the sense of the lightness of the building, and elegance is achieved in the architectural formation by increasing the proportions used, for example, the ratio 2:1 does not achieve the concept of gracefulness in the architectural elements, while this feeling can be achieved if the ratio is increased to 1:10, for example. This concept is mainly concentrated in the formation of the pivot elements that appear in the internal spaces, such as the columns in the hall of the Karnak Temple.	
23- Streamlined:This feeling comes from the use of curved lines that achieve the fluidity of the building in any direction. The fluidity also expresses the fluidity of movement in the horizontal plan between the	

different spaces, or the fluidity of the internal space through the connection between it and the external space or between it and another space.	
24- Transparency: It is a feeling that results from the use of glass as a transparent material abundantly in the exterior facades of the building, as those inside can easily see the outside, and the sense of this concept is magnified when there is no need for privacy, as transparent glass is used that also allows those outside to see the inner depth of the spaces and their ceilings.	
25- Repetition: It means the regular repetition of the formation elements more than once, and it may be at the level of facades or blocks.	
26-Boredom: It happens because of the regular repetition where the architectural sentence loses the characteristic of diversity and the viewer loses the expectation and sense of change	
27- Rhythm: It is an irregular repetition of the same components of the repetition, but it is separated by commas, and it can be a regular or irregular rhythm.	
28-Contrast: It is a property to achieve differentiation and contrast in architectural blocks and facades, and it may be color contrast, mass contrast, functional contrast, or plastic contrast.	
29-Compatibility: Compatibility is the harmony in architectural buildings and it may be a color compatibility or a mass compatibility in terms of the shape of the blocks and heights or a formative compatibility such as the compatibility of straight lines with each other, and the compatibility of curved lines with each other, or a functional compatibility as a configuration with one job uses.	
30-Gradient: The gradient is divided into two parts, a color gradient, and it occurs when the designer uses a color gradient in the facades, and the gradient begins between two colors, or a mass gradient, and it may be at the level of heights or in their position in the horizontal projection and the general location.	
31- Similarities: It means the similarity of two things in many features and the difference in some other features, such as the similarity of blocks or building elements such as building facades or architectural treatments.	
32-Conformity: Contrary to similarity, congruence is: the congruence of two things in all features, such as congruence of building blocks, congruence of building elements, congruence of building destinations.	
33-Diversity: It is the opposite of repetition and means that there is a difference between the constituent elements of the building and can be achieved through the diversity of blocks - the diversity of openings - the diversity of building materials - the diversity of colors - the diversity of forming elements.	

34-Unit:

It is to follow a unified method to link the elements to each other and give them a unified character. It can be achieved through: unity of color - unity of texture - unity of material - unity of formation elements.

35- Control:

It is the supremacy of part of the configuration parts of the building over the other parts of the surrounding buildings to form a center to attract attention and impose visual control.

36- Originality:

It means that the architectural formations used have ancient origins from the vocabulary of heritage architecture.

1-5-Function:

The concept and importance of the function in architecture is that it is not only limited to taking into account the paths of movement, lighting and ventilation needs, spatial relations, technical requirements, elements of communication, movement, etc., but it goes beyond them to include all biological, psychological, cultural and social human needs, and from here the Functionalism Theory emerged, which is one of the most important theories of science The architect bears the slogan that architecture is a pure science and not an art, and that the architectural form adheres to and follows the function and may not rebel against it in any case. Functionalism began as a theory in architecture from the nineteenth century. Le Corbusier was the most prominent one who applied this theory in all his buildings and one of the most important developers and supporters of functionalism in all its principles. Therefore, this theory is associated with the name of the architect Le Corbusier, but it is not unique to him alone. The first to appear with this theory is the American Horatio Greenough, who concluded that the architectural form must be a natural reaction to the benefit, as it happens in all creatures. Then came Louis Sullivan, who advocated that form should follow function. [10].

1-5-1- Function and aesthetics of architecture:

Architecture, without all the arts, is unique in bearing the burden of careful balancing and neutral, successful arbitration, between the "functional" and "aesthetic" in their fateful struggle within their unity in the architectural artwork, as they are its main components, and all other arts face this complex dilemma as well. However, the responsibility of the architectural artist seems to us to be greater and more massive compared to other artists, so it requires, therefore, an exceptional effort to ensure the creation of the ideal combination between the functional and the aesthetic. In the various arts, it is the aesthetic that gives way to the functional in architecture. [11] While (Robert Venturi) mentions in his book Complexity and Contradiction in Architecture that we no longer argue about the primacy of form and function, one over the other, whichever follows the other, since it is not possible for us to ignore the dependence of each on the other [12], while (Sullivan) defined the function as a force that wants to express itself, and that the functions search for their forms, and that the forms are the external manifestation of the internal forces and needs, and the functions and forms are interconnected, intertwined, and mixed [13], but the



problem of the building's expression of the function also remains insistent, so we find that (Arnheim) defends the view that it is necessary for the building to express its function and states that the compatibility between the visual features and the function of the building is required so that people are not deceived [14], while (Simens) believes that it is not necessary in all cases that there is a direct relationship between The function and shape of the building [15], and from here we see that the intellectual and functional beauty is the only one that distinguishes architecture with its utilitarian purposes from other arts such as painting and sculpture [16]. Others believe that the architectural content is only the architectural function, which can be divided into a utilitarian function that includes space, environment and Materials, and an emotional function that includes the expressive and political aspects, and that the form is the material outcome of a mutual interaction between a social demand represented by an idea on the one hand, and its contemporary technology with its intellectual, material and subjective elements on the other hand [17].

1-6- Previous studies in the field of measuring the aesthetics of architecture:

Researchers have been alerted to the need to devise means to measure beauty and reactions towards it as a condition for studying beauty in a scientific way, and they have come up with several means to represent the built environment, some of which are descriptive using words, or using different simulation methods, or by directly representing the built environment. Among the most important studies in the field of measuring the aesthetics of architecture are the following:

1- (Sayed Al-Tuni and Nasmat Abdel-Qader 1996):

The external appearance of buildings is one of the most important areas of basic interest in the formation and treatment of facades, and this formation and treatment of facades is through respect and employment of architectural determinants and utilitarian requirements [18]

2- (1975 Zube and 1970 Sanoff)

One of the measurement methods is based on displaying a group of photographs or sketches and to identify people's actions and preferences using special scales called Rating Scales, and choosing images that represent the environment under study or what is called image sampling is one of the important topics that affect the possibility of generalizing the results of the study, as he called Some researchers need to choose a representative sample of the environment, while others called for first identifying the characteristics affecting the environment and selecting a sample based on the diversification of these characteristics. [19], [20].

3- (Groat and Bishop 1983):

They used another method, which is called multipe sorting, in which the official is asked to divide a group of images into groups so that the images in one group are somewhat similar and different from the images in other groups according to criteria determined by the official himself, and the arrangement is repeated by choosing another criterion for arrangement that is also determined by the official. This method is useful in identifying the different methods that people follow in dividing the environment, as well as the meanings associated with it. [21], [22]

4- (1974 sanoff):

He used a method based on presenting four alternatives to design a building facade and asked the viewers to evaluate the building facades using the so-called Semantic differential scale, which is based on a list of opposing characteristics, including five grades in the middle, ranging from strength to weakness for this characteristic. [23].

5- (Krampen 1980):

He studied the relationship between some objective measures of facades and their personal evaluation, in the event that what is required is to measure and know the impact of certain aesthetic characteristics, so the problem lies in the difficulty of objective quantitative measurement of these characteristics, which are originally qualitative properties. [24]. 6- (Elsheshtawy 1997):

He developed an objective method or tool for measuring the value of complexity in the built environment based on the Gestalt theory of spatial organization. [25].

2- Applied study:

This applied research study is an important step towards understanding architectural aesthetic values and the mutual influence between them and the function of the building, and formulating a proposed methodology for measuring these values in contemporary buildings through analyzing and monitoring some examples and the reasons for choosing examples:

• Examples of buildings of contemporary architecture.

• The pictures of the buildings were selected as realistic examples from (Egypt and the world) for the types of jobs under study.

• The most expressive examples of the selected aesthetic values under the applied research study were selected.

• The types of functions of the buildings under the research study were selected based on the most influential functions in the lives of the users on a daily basis for most of them (residential - educational - commercial)

The applied study was carried out in successive stages as follows:

1- The stage of selecting the study sample.

2- The stage of conducting a survey and questionnaires and designing the proposed methodology.

3- The stage of statistical measurement and calibration using the SPSS statistics program.4- The stage of comparison, evaluation and formulation of results.

As follows:

<u>1- The stage of selecting the study sample:</u>

The research study sample was selected from 60 people of architects, designers, specialists in the field of architecture, and students of the architecture department in a number of universities with different schools and architectural orientations to which the study sample belongs. The scope of the research, such as poor culture or the spread of misconceptions about aesthetic values and their reciprocal relationship with the function of the building in the public, or lack of understanding of the standards presented in the questionnaires.

2- The stage of conducting a survey and questionnaires and designing the proposed methodology:

A number of 12 images were selected for multiple examples of each of the residential, educational and commercial functions, and it was taken into account that the images include a diversity of architectural trends and a diversity of aesthetic values in them. Then, questionnaires were designed in which the study sample was asked to evaluate the degree of presence of values Aesthetics in each example, and it should be noted that the researcher counted the aesthetic values used for the architectural formation mentioned in previous studies, books and lectures on architecture theories, which were about 36 aesthetic values as mentioned in the previous table (Table 1), and the researcher found that it is possible to collect and summarize them in 12 values Aesthetics represent the most common and influential space users from the point of view of specialists and architects and their use in the applied study of the research, and they are (containment, balance, proportions, complexity, compatibility and harmony, opposition and contrast, diversity, symmetry, rhythm, unity, fluidity).

<u>3- The stage of statistical measurement and calibration using the SPSS statistics program:</u>

A scale of three degrees (strong - medium - weak) was used to identify the degree of presence of aesthetic values in the images representing buildings with different functions. The questionnaires were unloaded and the inputs were included for the SPSS statistical analysis program and the necessary data tables and graphs were made.

4- The sage of comparison, evaluation and formulation of results and conclusions:

At this stage, comparison and evaluation were carried out in two parts:

First: comparison and comparison between averages of aesthetic values at the level of each building function:

Table 2: shows a comparison between the averages of the degree of having the aesthetic	
value for each function separately. Source: the researcher.	

Ν	building		Results			Graph	Conclusions
0.	function						
		1	Containment	1.7			• It was found from the
			Average	7			statistical analysis that the
1		2	balance	2.4		Average aesthetic values of residential buildings 3.00 balance	average score (2.49
			Average	9		2.50 2.00 1.50	balance) is the most
		3	Proportion	2.3	Averag	1.00 contrast 0.50 diversity	dominant aesthetic value
			Average	1		 Balance Balance	in residential buildings
	Residential	4	complexity	1.4		Control	over the rest of the other
			Average	7			aesthetic values.
		5	harmony				• It was also found from
			Average	2.4			the statistical analysis that
		6	contrast	1.6			the average score
			Average	4			(Rhythm.222) is the
		7	diversity	1.8]		average aesthetic value
			Average	7			common in residential

Average19Rhythm2.2Average2102.3unity Average111Streamlined1.8AverageAverage312control21Containment2.0Average02121213Proportion3Proportion4complexity1.3Xerage4complexity1.3	tatistical analysis, it was ound that the average legree (complexity 1.47) is the aesthetic value that is less dominant in esidential buildings than he other aesthetic values. Conclusions It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46)		1 2.2 2 2.3	Average Rhythm	9		
9Rhythm2.2102.3102.3unity Average111Streamlined12control212building functionResults1Containment2121213Proportion233Proportion4complexity1.3Average631Containment221Containment221Containment231Containment241Containment21213Proportion244complexity4complexity4complexity4complexity4complexity4complexity1.3	Then, from the tatistical analysis, it was ound that the average legree (complexity 1.47) is the aesthetic value that is less dominant in esidential buildings than the other aesthetic values. Conclusions It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46)		2.2 2 2.3	Rhythm			
Image: 10Average2102.3unity Average111Streamlined12control22.2building functionResults1Containment211Containment2121213Proportion3Proportion4complexity1.3	tatistical analysis, it was ound that the average legree (complexity 1.47) is the aesthetic value that is less dominant in esidential buildings than he other aesthetic values. Conclusions It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46)		2 2.3	•			
102.3unity Average111Streamlined12control2.2Averagebuilding functionResults211Containment Average212121213Proportion Average3Proportion Average4complexity1.3Verage4complexity1.3Verage3Proportion Average21.3	ound that the average legree (complexity 1.47) is the aesthetic value that is less dominant in esidential buildings than the other aesthetic values. Conclusions It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46)		2.3	Average	10		
unity Average111Streamlined1.8Average312control2.2Average6building functionResults21Containment AverageConclusions21Containment Average021Containment Average022balance Average-3Proportion Average2.4 Average-4complexity1.3	legree (complexity 1.47) s the aesthetic value that s less dominant in esidential buildings than he other aesthetic values. Conclusions It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46)				10		
11Streamlined1.8 Average12control2.2 Average312control2.2 Average6building functionResultsGraphConclusions21Containment2.0 Average621Containment2.0 Average63Proportion2.4 Average63Proportion2.4 AverageAverage4complexity1.3	s the aesthetic value that s less dominant in esidential buildings than he other aesthetic values. Conclusions It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46		1		10		
Image: Average Image: Averag	s less dominant in esidential buildings than he other aesthetic values. Conclusions It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46			unity Average			
12control2.2Average6residential buildings that the other aesthetic valuebuilding functionResultsGraph21Containment Average2.0 Average-21Containment Average2.0 Average-22balance Average2.4 Average-3Proportion Average4complexity1.3-	esidential buildings than he other aesthetic values. Conclusions It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46		1.8	Streamlined	11		
12control Average2.2 6residential buildings that the other aesthetic valuebuilding functionResultsGraphConclusions21Containment Average2.0 0• It was found from th statistical analysis that th average scores• It was found from th statistical analysis that th average scores22balance Average2.4 Average• It was found from th statistical analysis that th average scores3Proportion Average• It was found from th statistical analysis that th average scores4complexity1.3• It was found from th statistical analysis that th average scores	he other aesthetic values. Conclusions It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46		3	Average			
Average6the other aesthetic valuebuilding functionResultsGraphConclusions21Containment Average2.0 Average• It was found from the statistical analysis that the average scores• It was found from the statistical analysis that the average scores2balance Average2.4 Average• It was found from the statistical analysis that the average scores• It was found from the statistical analysis that the average scores3Proportion Average• It was found from the statistical analysis that the average scores• It was found from the statistical analysis that the average scores4complexity1.3	Conclusions It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46		2.2	Ŭ	12		
building functionResultsGraphConclusions21Containment Average2.0 AverageIt was found from th statistical analysis that th average2balance Average2.4 AverageIt was found from th statistical analysis that th average3Proportion 	It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46			Average			
function21Containment Average2.0 02balance Average2.4 Average3Proportion Average2.4 AverageAverage4complexity1.3	It was found from the tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46	Graph	_			huilding	
21Containment Average2.0 02balance Average2.4 Average- It was found from the statistical analysis that the average3Proportion Average- It was found from the statistical analysis that the average4complexity1.3	tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46	oreșn.					
Average02balance2.4Average63Proportion4complexity1.3	tatistical analysis that the verage scores (2.46		2.0	Containment	1		2
2balance2.4Average63Proportion4complexity1.3	verage scores (2.46				-		-
Average63ProportionAverage64complexity1.3	e v			Ŭ	2		
3Proportion Average2.4 64complexity1.3		= halance			<u> </u>		
Average64complexity1.3		3.00 2.50 2.00 Complexity			2		
4 complexity 1.3		erag 1.50 acontrast		-	5		
<u>i a d d i a streamlined</u>		0.50 symmetry					
	re the most dominant in				4		
						Educational	
	ducational buildings over the rest of the other			•	5	Educational	
			1				
6 contrast aesthetic values.					6		
	It was also found from						
	he statistical analysis that			diversity	7		
Alterage 0	he average score (unit		8	Average			
	,		2.4	symmetry	8		
Average 2	esthetic value common		2	Average			
	n educational buildings		2.1	Rhythm	9		
Avelage 5	over the rest of the other		5	Average			
10 1.9 aesthetic values.			1.9		10		
unity Average 4	-			unity Average			
11 Streamlined 1.5 statistical analysis, it was	tatistical analysis, it was				11		
Average 2 found that the average	ound that the average						
degree (1.37 complexity	legree (1.37 complexity)				12		
is the aesthetic value th	s the aesthetic value that						
	s the least majority in						
educational building	ducational buildings						
control 2.1 over the rest of the oth	over the rest of the other		2.1	control			
Average5aesthetic values.	esthetic values.		5	Average			
building Results Graph Conclusions	Conclusions	Graph				building	
function		-				-	
31Containment1.9• It was found from the			10	Containment	1		3
Average3statistical analysis that the	It was found from the		1.7	Containintent	▲		

Volume 4, Issue 2, P 52 - 77

Print ISSN 2805-2838 Online ISSN 2805-2846

	-					
	2	balance	2.1			average score (diversity
		Average	6			2.53) is the most
	3	Proportion	2.2		Average aesthetic values for commercial buildings Containment	dominant aesthetic value
		Average	8		3.00 2.50	in commercial buildings
	4	complexity	1.7	Averag	2.00 harmony 1.50 contrast	over the rest of the other
		Average	9		1.00	aesthetic values.
Commercial	5	harmony	2.2		0.00 unity support and a start a start and a start a start and a start a	• It was also found from
		Average	9		Control	the statistical analysis that
	6	contrast				the average degree (2.16
		Average	2			balance) is the average
	7	diversity	2.5			aesthetic value common
		Average	3			in commercial buildings
	8	symmetry				over the rest of the other
		Average	2			aesthetic values.
	9	Rhythm				• Then, from the
		Average	2			statistical analysis, it was
	10		1.7			found that the average
		unity Average	6			score (unit 1.76) is the
	11	Streamlined				aesthetic value that is less
		Average	2			dominant in commercial
	12	control	2.4			buildings than the other
		Average	3			aesthetic values.

Second: comparison and comparison between the different functions of buildings and their impact on the degree of presence of aesthetic value in them:

Table 3: Shows a comparison between the different functions of buildings and their impact on the degree of presence of aesthetic value in them. Source: researcher.

No	Aesthetic Value	Results			Graph	Conclusions
<u>No</u> 1	Aesthetic Value	Residential Educationa 1	<u>1.77</u> 2	Averag	Graph	From the statistical analysis, it was found that the educational buildings are characterized by the largest amount of containment value, with an average score of (2), while the sense of containment gradually decreases among users in residential buildings, with an average score of (1.77), and then commercial buildings come with the lowest percentage of the sense of users to contain it, with an average score of
		al	1.60			(1.60).

Volume 4, Issue 2, P 52 - 77

2		Residential Educationa 1	2.49 2.46 2.16	Averag	From the statistical analysis, it was found that the residential buildings are characterized by the largest amount of the value of balance, with an average score of (2.49), while the sense of a slight difference in the value of balance gradually decreases among users in educational buildings, with an average score of (2.46), and then commercial buildings come with the lowest. Percentage when users feel
	Balance	Commerci al			contained in it, with an average score of (2.16).
3	Proportion	Residential Educationa 1 Commerci al	2.31 2.46 2.28	Averag	It was found from the statistical analysis that the educational buildings are characterized by the greatest amount of the value of ratio and proportionality, with an average score of (2.46), while the feeling of proportion and proportionality gradually decreases among users in residential buildings, with an average score of (2.31), and then commercial buildings come with the lowest percentage. The users' feeling of proportion and proportionality, with an average score of (2.28).
4	Complexity	Residential Educationa l Commerci al	1.47 1.37 1.79	Averag	It was found from the statistical analysis that the commercial buildings are distinguished by the greatest amount of complexity value, with an average score of (1.79), while the sense of complexity gradually decreases among users in residential buildings, with an average score of

Volume 4, Issue 2, P 52 - 77

				(1.47), and then educational buildings come with the lowest percentage for the sense of users with complexity, with an average score of (1.37).
5		Residential2.4Educationa2.2112.29	Averag	From the statistical analysis of the value of harmony, it was found that the degree of its presence is similar in all the functions of the selected buildings, indicating that the residential buildings are characterized by the largest amount of the value of harmony, with an average degree of (2.4), while the sense of harmony gradually decreases among users in commercial buildings, with an average degree of (2.29). Then, the
	Harmony	Commerci al		educational buildings come with the lowest percentage when users feel harmony with them, with an average score of (2.21).
6		Residential1.64Educationa21222	Averag	It was found from the statistical analysis that the educational and commercial buildings are characterized by the largest amount of contrast value together, with an average score of (2), while the sense of contrast decreases gradually
	Contrast	Commerci al		among users in residential buildings, with an average score of (1.64).
7		residential1.87educationa1.7812.53	Averag	It was found from the statistical analysis that the commercial buildings are distinguished by the greatest amount of the value of diversity, with an average score of (2.53), while the sense of diversity gradually decreases among users in residential buildings, with an
	Diversity	commercia 1		average score of (1.87), and then educational buildings come with the lowest percentage of the sense of

Volume 4, Issue 2, P 52 - 77

						The users varied in it, with an average score of (1.78) .
	residential	2.41		symmetry		(1.78).
	educationa	2.42	3 2.5			From the statistical analysis, it was
	1	22	1.5		^a a	Found that the educational buildings are characterized by the largest
		2	0.5		ial a	amount of the value of symmetry,
			< ^e	stor attract comm		with an average score of (2.42), while the sense of symmetry among
					U	isers in residential buildings
						lecreases by a very slight bercentage, with an average score of
					(2.41), and then commercial
						buildings come with the lowest bercentage. It is noticeable when
Symmetry	commercia				-	isers feel similar to it, with an
5 5	1				а	average score of (2).
	residential	2.22		rhythm		From the statistical analysis, it was
	educationa	2.15	2.3 2.2 Averag 2.1			Found that the residential buildings are characterized by the greatest
	1		1.9 1.8	education	nal a	amount of the value of rhythm, with
		2	e.	sident education commerci	a	an average score of (2.22), while the sense of the value of rhythm
					g	gradually decreases among users in
						educational buildings, with an average score of (2.15), and then
						commercial buildings come with the
Rhythm	commercia					east noticeable percentage for
	1					Users' sense of rhythm, with an average score of (2).
	residential	2.31		unity		From the statistical analysis, it was
	educationa	1.94	2.5 - 2 - Averag 1.5 -			Found that residential buildings are characterized by the largest amount
	1		0.5	educatio	onal C	of unit value, with an average score $(2,21)$
		1.76	e	solentio educationo commerció		of (2.31), while the feeling of unit value gradually decreases among
					U	sers in educational buildings, with
						an average score of (1.94), and then commercial buildings come with the
Unity	commercia				1	owest percentage for Users'
	1					sentiment was unit, with an average score of (1.76).
		educationa 1educationa 1Symmetrycommercia 1residential educationa 1educationa 1Rhythmcommercia 1educationa 1unitycommercia	educationa 12.42educationa 12Symmetrycommercia 1residential 12.22educationa 12.15Rhythmcommercia 1residential 12.31educationa 11.94Intro1.76Unitycommercia 1	educationa 12.42 2Average 232 2 1 1Symmetrycommercia 12residential 12.22 2.15 1.8Average32 2.22 2.15 1.8Rhythmcommercia 12Rhythmcommercia 12.31 1.94 1.76Average32 2.31 1.5<	educationa 12.42 2weregesymmetry 1Symmetrycommercia 12residential 12.22 2weregeresidential 12.15 1AveregeRhythmcommercia 12residential 12.31 2residential 12.31 2residential 12.31 2residential 12.31 2residential 12.31 2residential 12.31 2residential 12.31 2residential 12.31 2residential 11.76	residential 2.41 educationa 2.42 1 2 Symmetry commercia 1 2.215 residential 2.15 1 2 Rhythm commercia 1 1.94 educationa 2.31 residential 2.31 educationa 1.94 1 1.76

Volume 4, Issue 2, P 52 - 77

11	Streamlined	residential educational	1.83	Averag	From the statistical analysis, it was found that the commercial buildings are characterized by the largest amount of the value of Streamlined, with an average score of (2.53), while the feeling of streamlining gradually decreases among users in residential buildings, with an average score of (1.83), and then educational buildings come with the lowest noticeable percentage. Users' feelings about Streamlined, with an
12	Control	residential educational	2.53 2.26 2.15 2.43	Averag	average score of (1.41). It was found from the statistical analysis that commercial buildings are characterized by the greatest amount of control value, with an average score of (2.43), while the sense of control gradually decreases among users in residential buildings, with an average score of (2.26), and then educational buildings come with the lowest noticeable percentage for Users' sense of control, with an average score of (2.15).

3- Discussion and general conclusions:

1- After conducting a comparison between the averages of aesthetic values at the level of each function of the buildings separately, it is now possible to arrange the extent and degree of presence of aesthetic values from the point of view of the selected study sample in descending order from strongest to weakest in presence in residential buildings as follows:

\Box The average balance value in all residential examples is	2.49
\Box The average value of similarity in all residential examples is	2.41
\Box The average value of harmony in all residential examples is	2.4
□ The average value of ratio and proportionality in all residential examples is	2.31
\Box The average unit value in all residential examples is	2.31
\Box The average control value in all residential examples is	2.26
\Box The average value of percussion in all residential examples is	2.22
\Box The average value of diversity in all residential examples is	1.87
\Box The average value of flow in all residential examples is	1.83
\Box The average containment value in all residential examples is	1.77

\Box The average value of contrast in all residential examples is	1.64
\Box The average value of complexity in all residential examples is	1.47

2 - After making a comparison between the averages of the aesthetic values at the level of each function of the buildings separately, it is now possible to arrange the extent and degree of the presence of the aesthetic values from the point of view of the selected study sample in descending order from the strongest to the weakest in presence in the educational buildings as follows: □ The average balance value in all educational examples is 2.46 □ The average value of ratio and proportionality in all educational examples is 2.46 □ The average value of symmetry in all educational examples is 2.42 □ The average value of harmony in all educational examples is 2.21 □ The average value of rhythm in all educational examples is 2.15 □ The average control value in all educational examples is 2.15 □ The average value of containment in all educational examples 2 □ The average value of contrast in all educational examples 2 □ The average unit value in all learning examples is 1.94 \Box The average value of diversity in all educational examples is 1.78 □ The average value of streamlining in all educational examples is 1.52 □ The average value of complexity in all educational examples is 1.37 3 - After making a comparison between the averages of the aesthetic values at the level of each function of the buildings separately, it is now possible to arrange the extent and degree of the presence of aesthetic values from the point of view of the selected study sample in descending order from the strongest to the weakest in presence in commercial buildings as follows:

\Box The average value of diversity in all commercial examples is	2.53
\Box The average value of flow in all commercial examples is	2.53
\Box The average control value in all trading examples is	2.43
\Box The average value of harmony in all trading examples is	2.29
□ The average value of ratio and proportionality in all commercial examples is	2.28
□ The average value of equilibrium in all commercial examples is	2.16
□ The average contrast value in all commercial examples	2
□ The average value of symmetry in all commercial examples	2
\Box The average value of the rhythm in all trading examples	2
□ The average value of containment in all commercial examples is	1.93
\Box The average value of complexity in all commercial examples is	1.79
□ The average unit value in all commercial examples is	1.76

4- Future studies and suggested recommendations:

1- The research proposes applying the applied research study on a wider scale and on a larger scale on all segments of society in order to better understand the public's sense and interpretations of the architectural aesthetic values in the different functions of the buildings to measure the extent of their awareness and identify the taste of the general users, which has been proven from previous studies that it often differs from the taste and preference of architects and specialists. In this area.

2- The need to increase cultural and scientific awareness among designers and the community on what is being developed of new aesthetic values that keep pace with contemporary architecture around the world.

3- The necessity of applying and including what has been reached from the results of this proposed methodology to measure contemporary aesthetics in architecture and the extent to which it is affected by the different function of the building and adding it within the academic curricula in specialized colleges such as engineering and applied arts so that students can keep up with the findings of scientific research studies and recent experiences in this field.

5- References:

1- Abdul Raouf Ali, 2014 - Architectural Criticism and its Role in the Development of Contemporary Urbanism, electronic reference.

2- Abdul Haider Najm, 2001 - The Science of Aesthetics, Its Horizons and Development, Second Edition, Dar Al-Kutub for Printing and Publishing, University of Mosul, 159 pages.

3- Sami Irfan, 1966- Functional Theory in Architecture, Dar Al-Maarif, Egypt, 121 pages.
4- Fathi Hassan, 1977 - Architecture and the Environment. Dar Al Maarif, Cairo, Egypt.
60 pages.

5- Olfat Hammouda Yahya, 1919 - Theories and Values of Architectural Beauty. Second edition, Dar al-Ma'arif, Cairo.

6 - Ahmed Mohamed Shehab, Hamoudi Abdel-Saheb, 1994 - Architecture, its methods and theoretical foundations for the development of its forms. First edition, Dar Majdalawi for Publishing and Distribution, Amman, Jordan. 222 pages.

7- Al-Hakimi Muhammad, 1997, 1998 - Theories of Architecture 1. Directorate of University Books and Publications, Al-Baath University, 286 pages.

8- Nubi Muhammad Hassan, 2000, Theories of Architecture 1, Lectures, at King Saud University, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, electronic reference.

9- IANCA S.: GEORGESCU M, Architecture Notes. University of Timisoara.P 259

10- Terry Eagleton, 2007, After Theory, An inter-university resource for students of architectural, theory Manifold Magazine, Manifold Publishing Group.

11- Hindawi Hussein '2006' The conflict between the functional and the aesthetic in the art of architecture, Ma'aber Magazine, an article published online at http://www.maaber.org/issue_september06/art1.htm

12- Venturi, Robert, 1987, Complexity and Contradiction in Architecture, an unpublished book online, General Cultural Affairs House, Egypt.

13- Sullivan, 1949, the autobiography of an idea, New York.

14- Kamel, Hassan Mohamed, 1993, Expressionism in Architecture and Tools, book.

15- K.W.S. Siemens, 1998, Foundations of Architectural Design, translated by Muhammad Al-Rahman Al-Hussain, book.

16- Sami, Irfan, 1966, The Job in Architecture, book.

17- Shehab, Muhammad, 1994, Architecture, its methods and the theoretical foundations for the development of its forms.

18- Al-Tuni, Sayed - Abdel-Qader Nesmat, 1996, The external appearance of public housing models in distinction and character, scientific research, Cairo University Engineering Journal.

19- Zube, E, Julius, G, and Robert, O (1975): Landscape assessment, Stroudsburg, PA: Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross.

20- Sanoff, H: 1970: House from and preference. in EDRA two: Proceedings of the Second Annual Environmental Design Research Association Conference.

21- Groat, L (1982): Meaning in post modern architectuere. An examination using the multiple sorting task. Journal of Environmental Psychology.

22- Bishop, R 1983: The perception and importance of time in architecture .Ph.D. thesis, University of Surry.

23- Sanoff, H: 1974: Systematic evaluation of architectural requirements for community housing. Designing the method Ed. David Tester. North Carolina State University.

24- Krampen, M 1080: The correlation of objective façade measurements with subjective ratings. Meaning and behavior in the built environment. Ed Broadbent, G:Bunt, R, and Liorens, T.John Wiley&Sons.

25- Elsheshtawy, Y 1997: Urban complexity: Toward the measurement of the physical complexity of street-scapes. Journal of Architectural and Planning Research.

5-Appendices:

Questionnaire forms Source: Researcher:

Analysis of images of 12 models of the following buildings for each function in terms of the presence of the following aesthetic values in the buildings (containment - balance - ratio and proportion - complexity - harmony - inconsistency and contrast - diversity - consistency - rhythm - unity - fluidity - control) in terms of being (strong, medium, weak):

First: Residential buildings:

						example aesthetic value
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Containment
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	equanimity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Proportion
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	complexity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	1 2
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	harmony
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	contrast
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Diversity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	symmetry
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	tempo
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	_
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Unit
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	streamlined
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	the control
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	

Print ISSN 2805-2838 Online ISSN 2805-2846

example æesthetic value								
Containment	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
	Medium	n	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
equanimity	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
	Medium	n	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
Proportion	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
-	Medium	n	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
complexity	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
	Medium	n	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
harmony	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
	Medium	n	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
Contrast	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
and contrast	Medium	n	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
-	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
Diversity	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
Diversity	Medium		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
symmetry	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
symmetry	Medium		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
tempo	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
, tompo	Medium		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
Unit	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
	Medium	n	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
streamlined	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
	Medium	n	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
the control	strong		strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	
	Medium	n	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Aedium	
	weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	

Print ISSN 2805-2838 Online ISSN 2805-2846

Volume 4, Issue 2, P 52 - 77

Second: educational buildings:

NORMAN							example aesthetic value
strong	/	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Containmen
Mediu		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	t
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	5	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	equanimity
Mediu	m	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	5	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Proportion
Mediu	m	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	1
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	5	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	complexity
Mediu	m	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	1 2
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	5	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	harmony
Mediu	m	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	5	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Contrast
Mediu	m	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	and contrast
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	5	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Diversity
Mediu	m	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	-
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	5	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	symmetry
Mediu	m	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	5	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	tempo
Mediu	m	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	5	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Unit
Mediu	m	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	5	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	streamlined
Mediu	m	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	5	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	the control
Mediu	m	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak		weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	

Print ISSN 2805-2838 Online ISSN 2805-2846

						example aesthetic value
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Containment
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	equanimity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Proportion
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	complexity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	harmony
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	5
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Contrast and
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	contrast
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Diversity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	symmetry
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	tempo
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	•
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Unit
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	streamlined
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	the control
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	

Print ISSN 2805-2838 Online ISSN 2805-2846

Volume 4, Issue 2, P 52 - 77

Third: commercial buildings (malls):

						example aesthetic value
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Containment
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	equanimity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Proportion
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	1
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	complexity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	1 5
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	harmony
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Contrast and
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	contrast
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Diversity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	symmetry
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	tempo
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	<u>^</u>
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Unit
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	streamlined
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	the control
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	

Volume 4, Issue 2, P 52 - 77

						example aesthetic value
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Containment
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	equanimity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	1 2
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Proportion
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	1
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	complexity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	1 5
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	harmony
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Contrast and
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	contrast
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Diversity
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	symmetry
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	5 5
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	tempo
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	1
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	Unit
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	streamlined
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	
strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	strong	the control
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	weak	